The Spatial Organization of Long-Term Synaptic Plasticity at the Input Stage of Cerebellum
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The spatial organization of long-term synaptic plasticity [long-term potentiation (LTP) and long-term depression (LTD)] is supposed to play a critical role for distributed signal processing in neuronal networks, but its nature remains undetermined in most central circuits. By using multielectrode array recordings, we have reconstructed activation maps of the granular layer in cerebellar slices. LTP and LTD induced by theta-burst stimulation appeared in patches organized in such a way that, on average, LTP was surrounded by LTD. The sign of long-term synaptic plasticity in a given granular layer region was directly correlated with excitation and inversely correlated with inhibition: the most active areas tended to generate LTP, whereas the least active areas tended to generate LTD. Plasticity was almost entirely prevented by application of the NMDA receptor blocker, APV. This suggests that synaptic inhibition, through a control of membrane depolarization, effectively regulates NMDA channel unblock, postsynaptic calcium entry, and the induction of bidirectional synaptic plasticity at the mossy fiber–granule cell relay (Gall et al., 2005). By this mechanism, LTP and LTD could regulate the geometry and contrast of network computations, preprocessing the mossy fiber input to be conveyed to Purkinje cells and molecular layer interneurons.
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Introduction
There is a strict relationship between synaptic inhibition, long-term synaptic plasticity, and the spatial organization of brain circuit computation. On the one hand, synaptic inhibition limits the intensity, persistence, and spread of excitation in neuronal circuits (von Békésy, 1967; Dudel, 1983; Contreras and Llinas, 2001; Derdikman et al., 2003; Petersen et al., 2003; Wirth and Lüscher, 2004). On the other hand, the inhibitory control of cell depolarization can regulate NMDA channel unblock (Nowak et al., 1984), postsynaptic Ca$^{2+}$ influx, and the induction of synaptic plasticity (Lisman, 2003). Despite the remarkable consequences it might have on neural circuit computation (Kohonen, 1984; Rieke et al., 1997; Singer, 1999), the spatial organization of synaptic plasticity and its inhibitory control are still mostly unknown.

The cerebellum granular layer provides the opportunity for investigating the spatial distribution of long-term synaptic plasticity and its relationship with synaptic inhibition in a relatively simple and well known circuit (Ramon y Cajal, 1911; Eccles et al., 1967). The granule cells are excited by mossy fibers, which branch into clusters distributed in the parasagittal plane (Wu et al., 1999; Sultan, 2001), and are inhibited by Golgi cells. These, in turn, are activated by mossy fibers directly and through the granule cell axon, the parallel fiber. Golgi cell–granule cell neurotransmission is GABAergic (Brickley et al., 1996; Hamann et al., 2002), whereas mossy fiber–granule cell neurotransmission is glutamatergic and develops NMDA receptor-dependent long-term potentiation (LTP) after high-frequency mossy fiber activation (D’Angelo et al., 1999; Armano et al., 2000; Hansel et al., 2001). According to a bidirectional rule, LTP was shown to require stronger granule cell activation than long-term depression (LTD) (Gall et al., 2005). Golgi cell synaptic inhibition, by controlling membrane potential, may thus regulate the induction of synaptic plasticity between mossy fibers and granule cells and, eventually, determine the spatial organization of plasticity in the granular layer.

In this work, the activation pattern after mossy fiber stimulation in the granular layer of acute cerebellar slices was reconstructed by using multielectrode array (MEA) recordings (Eger et al., 2002). After assessing the cellular and circuit mechanism of MEA field potentials, we addressed the relationship between excitation, inhibition, and plasticity. Granule cell activity showed a patchy configuration and its extension was limited by inhibition through the Golgi cell loop, which specifically controlled delayed components of the response and NMDA receptor activation. Granular layer responses were spatially organized so that (1) inhibition was strongest in areas of the granular layer surrounding...
(or adjacent to) the granule cells that were strongly activated by mossy fiber stimulation, (2) when inducing plasticity using theta-burst stimulation (TBS), LTD was more likely in areas that surrounded (or were adjacent to) granule cells undergoing LTP, and (3) the areas receiving strongest inhibition were also the areas more likely to undergo LTD. In this way, LTP and LTD tended to form a Mach-band pattern (von Békésy, 1967), raising the contrast between neighboring areas. The tight relationship between local circuit dynamics and long-term synaptic plasticity complements previous granular layer theories (Eccles, 1969; Marr, 1969; Albus, 1971) and suggests a distributed mechanism for learning and processing spatiotemporal patterns at the input stage of the cerebellum (De Schutter and Bjaalie, 2001; Jornet and Ekerot, 2002).

Materials and Methods

Extracellular field potentials were recorded from acute cerebellar slices with an MEA. Except for spontaneous activity measured in the Purkinje cell layer (Egert et al., 2002), this is to date the first MEA investigation of the spatial organization of electrical activity evoked in the granular layer in vitro.

Slice preparation and maintenance. Acute cerebellar slices (220 μm thick) were obtained from 18–25-d-old Wistar rats as reported previously (D’Angelo et al., 1995, 1999). Briefly, rats were anesthetized with Halotane (Sigma, St. Louis, MO; 0.5 ml in 2 L for 1–2 min) before being killed by decapitation. The cerebellum was gently removed, and the vermis was isolated, fixed on a plastic support with cyanoacrylic glue, and killed by decapitation. The cerebellum was gently removed, and the vermis was isolated, fixed on a plastic support with cyanoacrylic glue, and immersed into a cold (−3°C) cutting solution. Slices (220 μm thick) were cut in the sagittal plane. The cutting solution (Dugue´ et al., 2005) contained the following (in mM): 130 K-glucuronate, 15 KCl, 0.2 EGTA, 20 HEPES, 10 glucose, pH 7.4 with NaOH. Slices were incubated for −1 h before recordings at 31°C in oxygenated Krebs’ solution containing the following (in mM): 120 NaCl, 2 KCl, 1.2 MgSO4, 26 NaHCO3, 1.2 KH2PO4, 2 CaCl2, 11 glucose, pH 7.4 when equilibrated with 95% O2–5% CO2. In certain experiments, the extracellular solution was added with the GABA receptor blocker, 10 μM bicuculline (Sigma), the NMDA and AMPA receptor blockers, 50 μM d-APV (Tocris Cookson, Bristol, UK) and 10 μM 6-nitro-7-sulfamoylbenz(2,3)quinoline-2,3-dione (NBQX) (Tocris Cookson). At the end of each experiment, 1 mM TTX (Latoxan, Valence, France) was applied directly into the recording chamber to block synaptic activity and isolate the stimulus artifact.

Slices were gently positioned on the MEA and fixed with a nylon mesh attached to a platinum Ω-wire to improve tissue adhesion and mechanical stability. Perfusion of standard extracellular solution (−3 ml/min) maintained at 32°C with a feedback temperature controller (Thermostat HC2; Multi Channel Systems, Reutlingen, Germany) was continued during the recording session.

Slices were used soon after incubation to limit potential time-dependent effects deriving from progressive tissue damage. Slices were used soon after incubation to limit potential time-dependent effects deriving from progressive tissue damage. The persistence of spontaneous activity and the stability of granular layer evoked potentials in unper- turbed slices (see Fig. 1) indicated constant circuit properties during the course of the experiments. Loose cell-attached (LCA) recordings and whole-cell recordings (WCRs) were performed as reported by Forti et al. (2006) and Nieuw et al. (2006), whereas MEA recordings are described in detail below.

MEA recordings. The MEA system consisted of a chip (a silicon substrate supporting thin film platinum wires leading to the electrodes and insulated with a 5-μm-thick SU-8 epoxy layer) connected to amplifiers via printed circuit boards (MEA60; Multi Channel Systems). MEAs contained 60 conic electrodes covered with a thin platinum film arranged in an 8 × 8 matrix (without corner electrodes) and spaced by 100 μm center-to-center (Ayanda Biosystems, Lausanne, Switzerland) (Heuschkel et al., 2002). The electrodes had a base diameter of 40 μm and height of 30 μm, with an effective recording area of 1600 μm2. The choice of conic electrodes to record from the inner part of the slice was aimed at preventing the effects of fiber discontinuation and neuronal damage occurring on the surface.

MEA signals were amplified (1200×) (MEA1060; Multi Channel Systems) and sampled at 20 kHz/channel. Field potentials usually ranged between tens of microvolts to millivolts. A signal was analyzed only when >10 μV. According to electrophysiological conventions, negative (downward) signals are determined by sink (inward positive) currents indicating membrane excitation.

Two neighboring electrodes laying across the mossy fiber bundle were used for electrical stimulation. Voltage pulses (±2–8 V; 80–100 μs) were applied through an STG1008 stimulator (Multi Channel Systems) triggering the MEA1060 amplifier interface. Mossy fibers were stimulated with single pulses at a frequency of 0.1 Hz. Synaptic plasticity was induced by eight bursts of 10 impulses at 100 Hz, which were repeated every 250 ms (TBS) (D’Angelo et al., 1999).

The signal-to-noise ratio was improved by averaging 10–16 consecutive traces (see Fig. 1). The stimulus artifact was subtracted off-line using average traces obtained in the presence of 0.1 μM TTX, which suppressed any evoked activity. Electrode location was reconstructed using pictures taken at the end of the recording sessions with a digital camera (Canon Powershot G2) installed on the C-mount of the microscope (Olympus BX51WI; 4× objective; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

The nature of cerebellar MEA recordings. The spatial resolution of recordings was limited by the distance between MEA electrodes (100 μm). Electrodes like those used in our recordings detect activity generated within a radius of 30 μm (Egert et al., 2002). On the one hand, this prevents cross talk among electrodes in the granular layer, because granule cells have a diameter of −5 μm and dendrites usually shorter than 30 μm. On the other hand, the discrete sampling of membrane potentials may cause a discontinuous reconstruction of the activity landscape. The effectiveness of the MEA system in reconstructing activity maps of the granular layer was verified by simultaneous recordings with a fast CCD camera (SciMedia, Irvine, CA; MICAM01; 1 kHz acquisition rate; 10 μm effective pixel size) and the voltage-sensitive dye (VSD) Di-4ANEPPS. The main spatial properties revealed by MEA (organization of excitation and inhibition, relative distribution of LTP and LTD) were also observable using VSD (J. Mapelli and E. D’Angelo, manuscript in preparation) (data not shown), indicating that changing spatial resolution from 100 μm (MEA) to 10 μm (VSD) is not critical for an effective mapping of population activity in the granular layer. This probably reflects the fact that granule cells activate in cohorts: because there are −100 neighboring granule cells per glomerulus, each one with a diameter of −5 μm (Harvey and Napper, 1991), the regions of activity can easily range up to several tens of micrometers. The advantage of MEAs over VSD imaging was the higher time resolution needed to distinguish N2a from N2b (see Fig. 1) and detect their amplitude changes, so that parameters relative to excitation, inhibition, and plasticity could be correlated.

The field potential (V × C × f × dI/dt) (Hubbard et al., 1969) depends on the relative surface of the electrogenic elements of the granular layer. The granule cell–Golgi cell ratio is 500:1 for number of cells (Eccles et al., 1967; Harvey and Napper, 1991) and 3:50 for cell surface (cf. Dieudonne, 1998; D’Angelo et al., 1999). This yields a 30 times larger surface area for granule cells, which therefore determine most of the signal. Indeed, the regular spontaneous activity around 4 Hz typical of Golgi cells appeared in 11 over 498 electrodes. Because −10% of Golgi cells could be silent (Forti et al., 2006), the effective detection ratio becomes 1:41, in keeping with the surface ratio. When an electrode showed Golgi cell activity, it was discarded from the analysis. The residual probability of having contamination of the field response by the 10% of Golgi cells, which do not show spontaneous discharge but might respond to mossy fiber stimulation, is limited to just 1 of the 498 electrodes (0.2%) analyzed in this study. These observations support previous reports indicating that Golgi cell evoked responses do not substantially contribute to granular layer field potentials in acute rat cerebellar slices (Garthwaite and Brodbelt, 1989; Maffei et al., 2002). Accordingly, the salient properties of the granular layer field potential could be reconstructed using a multicompartmental model of granule cell activity (S. Diwakar and D’Angelo, manuscript in preparation).
The presence of autorhythmic Golgi cell activity and of appropriate wave sequences in the evoked field response (see Fig. 1) attest to the integrity of the local granular layer circuitry. A finer assessment, which is required to reliably analyze the spatial organization of activity, was obtained by correlating the delay and amplitude of the field responses with distance from the stimulating electrode. If fibers are progressively interrupted, then the further responses should be systematically smaller than those recorded in proximity of the stimulating electrode. As shown in the supplementary material (available at www.jneurosci.org), this was not the case: field response amplitude was not correlated with distance (N2a amplitude was 265.6 ± 17.6 μV at <500 μm and 245.5 ± 18.5 μV at >700 μm; p = 0.43, paired t-test) but rather with peak time delay, which, in turn, depends on the intensity of granule cell activation (see also Figs. 3, 4).

The intensity of slice circuitry was also assessed through independent observations. During MEA experiments, other slices cut from the same preparation were used for patch-clamp recordings from Golgi cells and granule cells. In patch-clamp recordings, ~90% of Golgi cells were autorhythmic (Forti et al., 2006) and almost all of them showed robust synaptic responses and spikes after mossy fiber stimulation (E. Cesana and L. Forti, manuscript in preparation). Moreover, 90% of granule cells (n = 61 of 68) showed spontaneous TTX-sensitive IPSCs indicating that they were connected to spontaneously active Golgi cells. From anatomy, it is expected that each granule cell receives two to four Golgi cell inhibitory synaptic contacts on average (Harvey and Napper, 1991). The fact that spontaneous IPSC frequency was two to four times higher than that of individual Golgi cells suggests that the appropriate number of active Golgi cells was connected to granule cells (P. Rossi and L. Mapelli, manuscript in preparation). Finally, LTP and LTD could be induced according to a bidirectional rules based on the intensity of membrane depolarization (A. D’Errico and D’Angelo, manuscript in preparation). We have therefore to conclude that the integrity of local mossy fiber–Golgi cell–granule cell inhibitory circuits in MEA recordings was not substantially altered.

Data analysis. Data were displayed on-line and stored using McRack software (Multi Channel Systems). After off-line digital subtraction of stimulus artifacts, evoked activity recorded from electrodes laying in the granular layer was analyzed using routines written in the Igor (Wavemetrics, Lake Oswego, OR) and MATLAB (Mathworks, Natick, MA) environment. The intensity of the response was determined by measuring the amplitude of negative peaks, N1, N2a, and N2b (downward with the present convention) (see Fig. 1). In a defined time window, an automatic procedure detected the local maximum (base) and minimum (peak) yielding the relative peak amplitude (peak-base). This allowed measurement of amplitude, delay, and slope of the waves and reconstruction of their time course along the experiments. To create three-dimensional (3D) maps, the electrode array was represented as an (x, y) matrix and the corresponding amplitude values were plotted on the z-axis. Pseudocolors and spatial interpolation were used to improve the graphics.

A total of 498 electrodes responded to mossy fiber stimulation of 684 electrodes laying in the granular layer (73.4%). These electrodes belonged to 29 slices: with 60 electrodes per slice, 39% of them (~23/slice) were in the granular layer. The multidimensional space governing the geometry of excitation, inhibition, and plasticity poses specific analysis problems, which are briefly summarized here (see also Wirth and Lüscher, 2004). A preliminary analysis of field potentials indicated that the differential behavior of the two wave peaks, N2a and N2b, could be exploited to simultaneously monitor the processes controlling induction and expression of plasticity through the inhibitory loop. At a first stage, statistics of the parameters and their pairwise correlations were analyzed. The excitatory/inhibitory balance (E/I) was introduced to synthetically represent circuit control of granule cell membrane potential. At a further stage, we considered the spatial relationships between parameters by analyzing the geometry of changes in 3D maps. Difference-maps were used to reveal the intensity and spatial distribution of changes (see Fig. 5). The intensity of synaptic plasticity was estimated by calculating the difference between average amplitude in control and after TBS. Only variations persisting until the end of the recording period were identified as LTP or LTD, if more than one electrode was potentiated, the analysis was referred to the most potentiated one (see Fig. 9). All data are reported as...
mean ± SEM, and, unless otherwise indicated, statistical comparisons were done using paired Student’s t test.

**Results**

The spatial organization of synaptic inhibition and plasticity in the cerebellum granular layer was investigated with MEA recordings in acute rat cerebellar slices. Because this technique is not commonly used to record cerebellar activity, we will first consider the fundamental properties of MEA field recordings (Figs. 1–4). This initial analysis will allow definition of the criteria for investigating the spatial organization of synaptic excitation, inhibition, and plasticity.

**MEA recordings from the cerebellum granular layer**

In MEA recordings, the granular layer was usually silent, reflecting the fact that granule cells do not show any spontaneous activity unless they are stimulated by mossy fibers. However, in some cases, one of the electrodes revealed a regular rhythmic activity at 4.86 ± 0.45 Hz (n = 11) reflecting spontaneous discharge in a Golgi cell (Dieudonne, 1998; Forti et al., 2006). Electrodes in the Purkinje cell layer and the molecular layer usually showed single-unit or multiunit activity, with spikes occurring irregularly at relatively high frequency (10–40 Hz). This could be attributable to Purkinje cells (Schonewille et al., 2006) and inhibitory interneurons (Mann-Metzer and Yarom, 2000), which discharge spontaneously in cerebellar slices.

Field potentials were evoked by mossy fiber electrical stimulation (Fig. 1A). In the granular layer, field potentials showed the typical N1–N2–P2 complex observed after white-matter cerebellar stimulation in vivo (Eccles et al., 1967). At high stimulus intensity, N1 split into multiple components, the first two of them being named N2a and N2b. Over a large sample (12 slice and 236 electrodes), average peak times for these waves were as follows: N1, 1.17 ± 0.03 ms; N2a, 2.86 ± 0.02 ms; N2b, 5.05 ± 0.02 ms; P2, 12.23 ± 1.32 ms. N1 corresponds to the presynaptic volley and mossy fiber terminal invasion (N1 was not discernible from the stimulus artifact in some recordings). N2 is determined by granular layer postsynaptic activity, which is by its most part generated by granule cells, whereas P2 reportedly corresponds to return currents from the molecular layer and is influenced by local protracted depolarizing currents (Garthwaite and Brodbelt, 1989; Maffei et al., 2002). In the molecular layer, signals often showed...
the N₁ wave, which represents responses from Purkinje cells and molecular layer interneurons.

The presence of appropriate spontaneous and evoked activity attests to the integrity of the slice circuitry, as further considered in Materials and Methods and the supplemental material (available at www.jneurosci.org). MEA signals demonstrated a remarkable stability (Fig. 1B) and could therefore be used for the analysis of long-term synaptic plasticity in the granular layer circuit.

The spatial distribution of electrical responses in the granular layer after mossy fiber stimulation was reconstructed by generating 3D maps for N₂a and N₂b (Fig. 2). Usually, activity diffused to both sides of the mossy fiber bundle revealing patches, which formed an irregular landscape with peaks and valleys. By raising stimulation intensity, N₂a and N₂b activity was enhanced, indicating recruitment of mossy fibers.

Field potentials reveal generation of spike doublets in granule cells

By raising stimulation intensity, N₂a changed from an EPSP-like to a spike-like shape and its time of occurrence was anticipated. At the same time, N₂b emerged as a second spike-like wave. In experiments in which we paired WCR with field recordings (Fig. 3B), LCA recording prevents changes in resting membrane potential, allowing a direct comparison with MEA extracellular signals. The analysis of poststimulus time histograms (PSTHs) revealed that raising stimulation (from 2 to 4 V) anticipated the first spike (by 2.9 ± 0.37 ms; n = 3) and increased the frequency of occurrence of the second spike (from 0 to 71.7 ± 0.5%; n = 3; in these recordings, the probability occurrence of the first spike was already saturated at the lowest stimulation intensity).

This observation substantiates the conclusion that spike generation in granule cells is a major determinant of N₂a and N₂b. The relationship between N₂ waves and synaptic activation of granule cells was clarified by noting that N₂a peak amplitude was linearly correlated with the initial slope (Fig. 3C), which is proportional to the synaptic current (Hubbard et al., 1969). Thus, on average, the synaptic current and the fraction of granule cells generating suprathreshold activity vary by a similar amount, indicating that N₂a amplitude is a good indicator of the strength of synaptic transmission.

The N₂b wave is regulated by synaptic inhibition and NMDA receptors

Granule cell activation is regulated by mossy fibers and Golgi cells and therefore by synaptic activation of glutamate and GABA receptors.

The GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor antagonist, 10 μM bicuculline, intensified the response by causing specific changes in the field potential waveforms (Fig. 4A,B). When the response had an EPSP-like shape, it was slightly enhanced by bicuculline (5.0 ± 1.16%; 19 electrodes in seven slices). When the response was spike-like, bicuculline anticipated N₂a without changing its amplitude significantly (1.5 ± 2.58%; n = 7 slices; n = 180 electrodes; p < 0.51). However, N₂b was markedly enhanced (+309.84 ± 73.5%; n = 7 slices; n = 180 electrodes; p < 0.012) and often further peaks appeared, so that the response resembled an oscillating waveform. The invariance of N₂a amplitude with marked N₂b changes is consistent with previous observations showing that inhibition reaches its maximum efficiency 3–5 ms after mossy fiber stimulation because of delays in the Golgi cell circuit (Armano et al., 2000), so that the first granule cell spike can arise while the subsequent membrane depolarization and secondary spikes are strongly depressed. Indeed, in LCA recordings (Fig. 4C), application of bicuculline did not change the probability of observing the first spike (from 99.3 ± 2.2 to 100%; n = 3) but raised the probability of obtaining the second spike considerably (from 0 to 60.4 ± 0.7%; n = 3).

After application of bicuculline (Fig. 4C), the NMDA receptor antagonist, 50 μM APV, reduced excitation more markedly on N₂b than N₂a (−40.36 ± 8.29% vs −11.08 ± 5.83%; n = 5 slices; n = 70 electrodes, with p < 0.05 in both cases, which were significantly different from each other at p < 0.01, paired t test) confirming the primary role of these receptors in generating delayed components of the mossy fiber–granule cell synaptic response (Garthwaite and Brodbelt, 1989; D’Angelo et al., 1995). Addition of the AMPA receptor antagonist, 10 μM NBQX, fully blocked the postsynaptic response, leaving only the presynaptic volley, N₁.
Thus, \( N_{2a} \) provides a measure of granule cell excitation almost unaffected by GABAergic inhibition. Conversely, \( N_{2b} \) allows evaluation of the effect of GABAergic inhibition and its control over NMDA channel activation. These properties provide the key to correlate excitation, inhibition, and plasticity in granular layer responses. The principles governing the differential contribution of phasic and tonic inhibition (Brickley et al., 1996; Hamann et al., 2002) and NMDA receptors in regulating the granule cell response are considered in the supplemental material (available at www.jneurosci.org).

Synaptic inhibition regulates the intensity and extension of the granular layer response

Although the mechanisms of GABAergic transmission at the Golgi cell–granule cell synapse have been clarified to a considerable extent (Brickley et al., 1996; Hamann et al., 2002), the spatial properties of inhibition are unknown. These were investigated by measuring the \( N_{2b} \) changes after application of 10 \( \mu M \) bicuculline and by generating subtraction maps (control − bicuculline) (Fig. 5A). Two effects were worth noting. First, bicuculline increased the active surface by 45.9 ± 24.8% (\( n = 7 \) slices; \( n = 180 \) electrodes) (Fig. 5B). The emergence of \( N_{2b} \) in new slice regions indicates that, although these received mossy fiber activation, synaptic inhibition prevented doublet generation (compare Fig. 4). Second, there was a marked negative correlation between initial excitation intensity measured on \( N_{2a} \) and the change caused by bicuculline on \( N_{2b} \) (always \( R^2 > 0.6 \)). Right, Plot of \( N_{2b} \) versus \( N_{2a} \) initial amplitude from the same slices: note the absence of correlation. For each slice, \( N_{2a} \) and \( N_{2b} \) amplitudes are normalized to their maximum control value. The histogram shows the average change caused by bicuculline in the electrodes with a local peak of excitation versus the surrounding ones. The effect of bicuculline is stronger in the surround (\( n = 60 \) from 7 slices; \( p < 0.03 \), paired \( t \) test). No comparable difference is observed by random sampling the granular layer electrodes and measuring the surrounding activity (\( n = 60 \) from 7 slices; \( p = 0.74 \), paired \( t \) test). Error bars indicate SEM.

Figure 5. The relationship between excitation and inhibition. A, Electrical 3D maps show \( N_{2b} \) amplitude before and after application of 10 \( \mu M \) bicuculline. Bicuculline disinhibited several areas. The distribution and intensity of the inhibitory effect is demonstrated by the subtraction map (control − bicuculline). B, In the same slice shown in A, the number of electrodes measuring a detectable \( N_{2b} \) response after bicuculline application (black dots) is increased. Note that \( N_{2a} \) was already measurable over the electrodes showing \( N_{2b} \) after bicuculline application (large open dots). C, Left, Plot of \( N_{2b} \) changes caused by bicuculline as a function of \( N_{2a} \) amplitude. The plot reveals a strong negative correlation between the parameters. The thick line is a monoeponential fitting to the whole dataset (\( y = y_0 + \Delta \times \exp(-x/\tau) \), with \( y_0 = 95, \Delta = 0, A = 1174, \tau = 0.09; p(\chi^2) < 0.001; R^2 = 0.72; n = 180 \) in 7 slices), the thin dashed lines are fittings to single-slice data (always \( R^2 > 0.6 \)). Right, Plot of \( N_{2b} \) versus \( N_{2a} \) initial amplitude from the same slices: note the absence of correlation. For each slice, \( N_{2a} \) and \( N_{2b} \) amplitudes are normalized to their maximum control value. D, The histogram shows the average change caused by bicuculline in the electrodes with a local peak of excitation versus the surrounding ones. The effect of bicuculline is stronger in the surround (\( n = 60 \) from 7 slices; \( p < 0.03 \), paired \( t \) test). No comparable difference is observed by random sampling the granular layer electrodes and measuring the surrounding activity (\( n = 60 \) from 7 slices; \( p = 0.74 \), paired \( t \) test). Error bars indicate SEM.
The average of several experiments, in which the slices were cen-
tered on the most active electrode and aligned along the mossy fiber axis (Fig. 6). Inhibition was proportionately stronger in the periphery than in the center of the activated area, as evidenced both in the 3D map and in its cross section, resembling distributions observed previously in the CNS (von Békésy, 1967; Dudel, 1983; Contreras and Llinas, 2001; Derdikman et al., 2003; Petersen et al., 2003; Wirth and Lüscher, 2004). At a closer inspection, the spatial profile of excitation (evaluated as N2a amplitude) was bell-shaped, whereas the intensity of inhibition (evaluated as N2b, variation after bicuculline) was broader than excitation and showed a depression in the center. It must be noted that the smooth appearance of average maps indicates the absence of any preferential orientation in the inhibitory balance (or plasticity in Fig. 8) relative to the mossy fiber bundle rather than suggesting a symmetric arrangement of the circuit. In other words, the average map smears the fragmentation of patterns observed in individual maps, similar to a filter smoothing a noisy recording.

Multiple sites of origin of LTP and LTD in the granular layer
Long-term plasticity can be induced at the cerebellar mossy fiber–granule cell synapse by TBS (D’Angelo et al., 1999). In these experiments, we have posed two main questions: (1) how are LTP and LTD spatially organized? and (2) how do they relate to excitatory and inhibitory activity?

In MEA recordings, TBS induced either LTP or LTD in different slice regions (Fig. 7A) with a time course (Fig. 7B) resembling that observed in extracellular focal recordings (Maffei et al., 2002) and in whole-cell recordings from granule cells (Gall et al., 2005). LTP and LTD coexisted in 15 of the 16 slices tested, whereas in one slice recorded without bicuculline only LTD was observed. In control solution, LTD mostly outnumbered LTP electrodes (38 vs 9%); 152 electrodes in seven slices), whereas the opposite happened in the presence of 10 μM bicuculline (19 vs 11%; 140 electrodes in nine slices), indicating a major role of inhibition in regulating plasticity (Fig. 7A, histograms). When the preparation was perfused with 50 μM APV, long-term plasticity almost entirely disappeared, indicating its NMDA receptor dependence. Just in a minor number of electrodes (<10%), LTD could still be revealed. On average (Fig. 7C), by considering the electrodes showing activity changes >10% over 30 min, in control conditions LTP attained 41 ± 16% (n = 4 slices; n = 12 electrodes; p < 4 × 10−8) and LTD −59 ± 5% (n = 4 slices; n = 50 electrodes; p < 2 × 10−12). In the presence of 10 μM bicuculline, LTP attained 40 ± 11% (n = 7 slices; n = 26 electrodes; p ≤ 7 × 10−11) and LTD −39 ± 8% (n = 7 slices; n = 16 electrodes; p < 1 × 10−11). Despite their different frequency of occurrence, the intensity and time course of LTP and LTD were similar in the two induction conditions.

Visual inspection of plasticity maps suggests that LTP and LTD tend to appear in neighboring areas. A quantitative analysis of this effect was performed by analyzing plastic changes in the area surrounding LTP (Fig. 7D). After TBS, the N2a change averaged over all of the granular layer electrodes next to a potentiated one was negative, revealing a prevalence of LTD (p < 10−3; n = 24 electrodes in six slices; paired t test). This happened because the electrodes surrounding the one doing LTP showed either LTD or no changes. This effect did not emerge when the reference electrodes, rather than being those showing LTP, were chosen randomly in the granular layer (n = 34 from six slices; p = 0.96, paired t test). Therefore, the arrangement of LTD beside or around LTP is not a random effect but rather reflects a specific circuit organization.
The spatial organization of synaptic plasticity

Similar to Figure 6, the spatial organization of synaptic plasticity was visualized by centering plasticity maps (evaluated as N2a amplitude) on the electrodes showing maximal LTP and aligning the maps along the mossy fiber axis (Fig. 8). The spatial profile of plasticity resembled a Mexican hat with LTP regions surrounded by LTD. When synaptic inhibition was blocked by bicuculline, the distribution became bell-shaped. By comparing the average maps in Figures 6 and 8, a clear matching emerges between the spatial organization of the E/I balance and that of LTP and LTD, suggesting that lateral inhibition could provide the organizing principle for the geometry of synaptic plasticity (see below and Discussion).

The relationship between excitation, inhibition, and plasticity

The induction of long-term plasticity in mossy fiber–granule cell synapses is regulated by the intensity of postsynaptic excitation during mossy fiber activity (Gall et al., 2005). We investigated therefore whether plasticity in the present recordings was correlated with N2a amplitude. Plasticity showed a biphasic behavior, with LTD at low and LTP at high N2a amplitude (Fig. 9 A, B). The switch between LTP and LTD was extrapolated from a biexponential fitting to the data and felt at intermediate levels of N2a amplitude. The easier transition from LTD to LTP obtained in the presence of bicuculline indicates that removing inhibition allows for a stronger activation of the induction mechanisms. It should also be noted that a group of electrodes showed LTP at low...
The induction of long-term plasticity in mossy fiber–granule cell synapses is regulated by GABAergic inhibition (Armano et al., 2000; Maffei et al., 2002). To understand the spatial distribution of this action, 30 min after TBS 10 μM bicuculline was perfused to uncover the inhibited regions. Inhibition was stronger in regions generating LTD than in those generating LTP (Fig. 10A). The quantitative analysis of field response changes in six MEA recordings (Fig. 10B) showed indeed a negative correlation between the change in field responses caused by TBS and the change after bicuculline. To rule out the possibility that changes in synaptic inhibition occurred after TBS, we measured the intensity of inhibition before TBS application (in this protocol, 10 μM bicuculline was applied in control and subsequently washed out: TBS was applied after field potentials recovered their original amplitude; n = 4). Also in this case, less inhibited regions potentiated better with a negative correlation between synaptic plasticity and inhibition (Fig. 10B).

To represent the combined effect of excitation and inhibition in controlling the induction of synaptic plasticity, we have used the excitatory/inhibitory balance, E/I (see definition above). In this graph (Fig. 10C), for negative E/I values (inhibition favored against excitation) LTD is the only plasticity observed. For positive E/I values (excitation favored against inhibition), both LTP and LTD are observed. The presence of LTD in the positive E/I region is not surprising considering that a positive E/I does not imply that excitation will pass the threshold for LTD induction: a favorable E/I may occur with low absolute depolarization or high initial release probability, moving the system toward LTD (compare also Fig. 9).

**Discussion**

In this paper, by using MEA recording techniques, we investigated the spatial organization of electrical activity in acute rat cerebellar slices after mossy fiber stimulation. We show that the geometry of excitation and inhibition, by controlling a bidirectional mechanism mostly based on NMDA receptor activation, is a key determinant of the sign and distribution of long-term synaptic plasticity. In particular, (1) inhibition was strongest in areas of the granular layer surrounding (or adjacent to) the granule cells that were strongly activated by mossy fiber stimulation; (2) when inducing plasticity using TBS, LTD was more likely in areas that surrounded (or were adjacent to) granule cells undergoing LTP; (3) the areas receiving strongest inhibition were also the areas more likely to undergo LTD. LTP and LTD emerge therefore as distributed network properties with a Mach-band organization (von Békésy, 1967), implying a role in regulating the contrast of network computation.

MEA recordings proved an efficient tool for measuring electrical activity in acute slices, allowing to reconstruct the fundamental aspects of evoked discharge characterizing the cerebellar cortical layers (for the original reports on circuit organization, see Eccles et al. (1967); for granule cells, D’Angelo et al. (1995); for Golgi cells, Dieudonné (1998) and Forti et al. (2006); for Purkinje cell and molecular layer interneurons, Mann-Metzer and Yarom (2000) and Schonewille et al. (2006). The evoked granular layer response appeared fragmented and partially surrounded by regions of lateral inhibition. The multiple patches of activity resemble the branching of mossy fibers revealed in the sagittal plane of cerebellar sections (Wu et al., 1999; Sultan, 2001) and may contribute to generate the fractured somatotopy revealed by granular layer micromapping in vivo (Shambes et al., 1978). We cannot, however, exclude that, if some mossy or Golgi cell axon fibers were cut at the slice edges, the real geometry would approach a more homogeneous Mexican-hat shape like that emerging from average map analysis.

Granular layer field potentials reflected the generation of granule cell EPSP and EPSP-spike complexes. The presence of multiple peaks (like N2a and N2b as the first two of them) indicated that granule cells can respond to single stimuli with short bursts of spikes, typically doublets (D’Angelo et al., 1995). Although several factors may concur (like spillover on AMPA receptors or activation of a persistent sodium current) (Mitchell...
and Silver, 2003; Nieuw et al., 2006), doubles were related to an NMDA receptor-dependent depolarization, consistent with early reports suggesting that these receptors enhance the late component of the response (Garthwaite and Brodbelt, 1989; Maffei et al., 2002). Moreover, whereas \( N_2 \) was uninfluenced, \( N_{2b} \) was strongly and specifically reduced by GABA receptor activation. Through this delayed action, Golgi cell inhibition can limit the duration of granule cell discharge controlling NMDA channel unblock and the induction of long-term synaptic plasticity. Once plasticity has been induced, \( N_{2b} \) can express plasticity through a potentiation mostly mediated by the AMPA receptor response (D’Angelo et al., 1999; Gall et al., 2005).

The action of inhibition was related to activation of the Golgi cell circuit. In previous extracellular recordings [Maffei et al. (2002), their Fig. 1D], ~70% of the granule cell response induced by mossy fiber stimulation could be inhibited by an independent Golgi cell stimulation. In addition, tonic inhibition (Brickley et al., 1996; Hamann et al., 2002; Mitchell and Silver, 2003) could promote \( N_{2b} \) reduction by accelerating membrane potential relaxation and preventing NMDA channel unblock. Details on the principles governing the action of phasic and tonic inhibition are given in the supplemental material (available at www.jneurosci.org).

Synaptic inhibition limited the excited area resembling observations reported in other brain regions like the cerebral cortex (Contreras and Llinas, 2001; Derdikman et al., 2003; Petersen et al., 2003; Wirth and Lüscher, 2004). The mechanism of lateral inhibition was suggested by the fact that the areas receiving more excitation were also those that were less inhibited. Thus, probably, strongly excited neurons inhibit the neighbors more effectively than weakly excited ones (von Bekésy, 1967; Dudel, 1983). The balance between granule cell excitation and inhibition could be sharpened by glomerular cross talk through neurotransmitter spillover, in which GABA presynaptically inhibits glutamate release and vice versa: for instance, strongly excited mossy fibers would prevent GABA release (Mitchell and Silver, 2000a) and limit its depressing action on glutamate release, thereby favoring granule cell excitation (Mitchell and Silver, 2000b). Moreover, the Golgi cell axonal projections extend beyond the input field in basal dendrites [Eccles et al. (1967), their Fig. 19 from Ramon y Cajal (1911)], providing a structural substrate to the lateral inhibition mechanism. Finally, it should be noted that, in vivo, Golgi cell receptive fields are wider and in part distinct from those of granule cells (Vos et al., 1999; Jorntell and Ekerot, 2002). Thus, in a natural context, granule and Golgi cell activity should be regulated not only by local circuit organization but also by the topography of the afferent sensory inputs.

**Figure 9.** The relationship between plasticity and excitation (A). The plot shows the relationship between the relative change during long-term synaptic plasticity and excitation measured as \( N_{2b} \) control amplitude. The data shown in this panel were obtained from the electrodes displaying significant \( N_{2b} \) changes after TBS, as in B. Note that, for small \( N_{2b} \) amplitudes, the electrodes usually show LTD, whereas at large amplitudes they tend to show LTP. The data are interpolated with a double exponential equation \((y = y_0 + A_1 \times \exp(-x/x_0) + A_2 \times \exp(-x/x_1))\), with \( y_0 = 29.5, x_0 = 45.13, A_1 = 99.5, x_1 = 24.1, A_2 = -93, \) and \( \tau_1 = 266; n = 62 \) electrodes from 4 slices; \( p(x^2) < 0.01 \). Note also that, in the very low amplitude region, some data points (enclosed in a dashed circle) show LTP. These data have not been used for fitting. A. Same as in A, but in the presence of 10 \( \mu \text{M} \) bicuculline (double exponential fitting with \( y_0 = 23.5, x_0 = -12, A_1 = 3354, A_2 = 9.65, \tau_1 = -450, \) and \( \tau_1 = 31.1; n = 42 \) electrodes from 7 slices; \( p(x^2) < 0.01 \).

**Figure 10.** The relationship between plasticity and inhibition. A. The electrical 3D maps compare distribution of plasticity and inhibition. After a control period, synaptic plasticity was induced with TBS. Then, after allowing plasticity to attain a steady level (30 min), 10 \( \mu \text{M} \) bicuculline was perfused. It was therefore possible to obtain a plasticity map (post-TBS + control) and the corresponding inhibition map (post-TBS + bicuculline) in the same MEA recording experiment. B. The plot shows the relationship between synaptic plasticity and inhibition for MEA recordings in which inhibition was evaluated after TBS (closed circles; \( n = 4 \) MEA recordings, \( n = 23 \) electrodes) or before TBS (open circles; \( n = 4 \) MEA recordings, \( n = 22 \) electrodes). The colored points code plasticity for the slice shown in A. LTP prevails with little inhibition, LTD with large inhibition. The dotted line is a biexponential fitting to the data \((y = y_0 + A_1 \times \exp(-x/x_0) + A_2 \times \exp(-x/x_1))\), with \( y_0 = -25, x_0 = 142.15, A_1 = -100, \tau_1 = 160; p(x^2) < 0.01 \). C. The plot shows the relationship between synaptic plasticity and the excitatory/inhibitory balance (E/I) (definition in the text) for the same recordings used in B. Whereas LTD occurs only at high E/I, LTD occurs at all values. The colored points code plasticity for the slice shown in A. To complete the dataset representation, the white dots show results recorded within the plasticity rejection threshold (less than \( \pm 10\% \) change).
The sign of long-term synaptic plasticity in a given granular layer region was directly correlated with excitation and inversely correlated with inhibition: the most excited areas tended to generate LTP, whereas the least excited areas tended to generate LTD. The relationship between synaptic plasticity and local circuit activity was bidirectional, and several recording sites did not show net LTP or LTD. This could be attributable either to the absence of changes in the underlying synapses or to elision of changes with opposite sign. This ambiguity could not be resolved with the present technique, which reveals the average behavior of the local neuronal population. It should also be noted that the excitatory/inhibitory balance may not be the only mechanism of LTP/LTD regulation. For instance, synapses with very low release probability are biased toward LTP (Sola et al., 2004). This may explain the cases of LTP appearing in fields with low response amplitude.

TBS-induced LTP and LTD depended on NMDA receptor activation (except for an exception commented below). Thus, we hypothesize that NMDA receptor-dependent LTP and LTD generated by TBS are two aspects of the same induction mechanism. Supposedly, the intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) influx and LTD induction. The close correlation of plasticity with the granule cell excitatory/inhibitory balance reinforces the conclusion that changes at the mossy fiber–granule cell relay are the main responsible of the changes caused by TBS.

According to Marr (1969), if input trains saturate granular layer plasticity, this would be inefficient for controlling information processing. Here, we show, however, that TBS did not saturate plasticity but, instead, simultaneously generated LTP and LTD in neighboring granular layer areas. The circuit maintains therefore a sort of homeostatic balance, in which activity is enhanced in certain areas but is reduced in others. Once established, LTP and LTD may be instrumental in regulating the contrast between granular layer fields, extending the original concept of spatial pattern separation (Marr, 1969), in which the excitatory/inhibitory balance of granule cells was predetermined and unchangeable. The LTP and LTD areas may represent channels for differential processing of mossy fiber inputs. In the LTP channel, the delay is reduced and the average frequency of granule cell firing increases, whereas the opposite happens in the LTD channel (Nieuw et al., 2006). By doing so, the LTP (or LTD) channels could favor (or prevent) the activation of specific Purkinje cell and molecular layer interneuron assemblies (Bower, 2002; Lu et al., 2005) as well as the development of short-term (Isope and Barbour, 2002; Sims and Hartell, 2005) and long-term synaptic plasticity (Hansel et al., 2001; Casado et al., 2002) at the corresponding parallel fiber synapses.

Contrast enhancement in the granular layer and Purkinje cell selection may contribute to the spatiotemporal recording of mossy fiber information predicted by theoretical network analysis (Eccles, 1969; Medina and Mauk, 2000; De Schutter and Bjaalie, 2001) and may take part to cerebellar receptive field reshaping after sensory stimulation (Jorntell and Ekerot, 2002). Artificial network models indicate that combining lateral inhibition with Hebbian learning regulates competition between neighboring areas causing the emergence of self-organized topology, feature abstraction, and generalization (Kohonen, 1984; Rieke et al., 1997; Singer, 1999). Appropriate network simulations may help understanding the potential occurrence of these properties in the granular layer of cerebellum.
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